# **L9 - Statistical Mechanics 1**

**Boltzman picture, Gibbs ensembles, and thermostats**

"Ludwig Boltzmann, who spent much of his life studying statistical mechanics, died in 1906, by his own hand. Paul Ehrenfest, carrying on the work, died similarly in 1933. Now it is our turn to study statistical mechanics.

Perhaps it will be wise to approach the subject cautiously"

David L. Goodstein – States of Matter, 2014

# The Boltzmann picture











### Macroscopic state: P

Microscopic state:  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{p}_2, ..., \mathbf{r}_N, \mathbf{p}_N)$ 

A microstate corresponds to a single macrostate  $\mathbf{x}_1 = (\mathbf{r}_{11}, \mathbf{p}_{11}, \mathbf{r}_{12}, \mathbf{p}_{12}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{1N}, \mathbf{p}_{1N}) \rightarrow P_a$ 

Many microstates may yield the same macrostate  $\mathbf{x}_1 \rightarrow P_a$  $\mathbf{x}_2 \rightarrow P_a$  $\mathbf{x}_3 \rightarrow P_a$ 

Microstate space



## Microstate space



 $\mathbf{x}_i = (\mathbf{r}_{i1}, \mathbf{p}_{i1}, \mathbf{r}_{i2}, \mathbf{p}_{i2}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{iN}, \mathbf{p}_{iN})$ 

Microstate space





*W*(P<sup>q</sup> )=324



# Why does time flow in a single direction?







# Why does time flow in a single direction?

The equilibrium region of the microstate space is so large that it consists almost entirely of equilibrium microstates.

For this reason, a system that starts its time-evolution in a nonequilibrium state always evolves into the equilibrium region and stays there for a very long time.

A trajectory may take longer than the universe's lifetime to return to the initial non-equilibrium region.



### **Ergodic hypothesis**

**Y**

**B**

In the long run, the time a trajectory spends in a region of the microstate space is proportional to the area of the region.

> $Time(B)$   $Area(B)$ Area  $(Y)$  $Time (Y)$ =



- 1) If we random sample Li-stilbene according to the 1:99 probability ratio, we get 1% of *cis* and 99% of *trans*.
- 2) If we run a long molecular dynamics trajectory, Li-stilbene remains 1% of the time in *cis* and 99% of the time in *trans*.

# Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics



 $N = \sum_j N_j$  $E = \sum_j N_j \varepsilon_j$ 

 $\varepsilon_1$   $N_1$  $\varepsilon_2$   $N_2$  $\varepsilon_3$   $N_3$  $\varepsilon_4$   $N_4$ 

 $\Lambda^{\epsilon}$ 

…<br>…

# If the system is in equilibrium, how many particles *N<sup>i</sup>* have energy  $\varepsilon$ <sub>i</sub>?



$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon} & \mathbf{N} = \Sigma_j N_j \\
\vdots & \mathbf{B} = \Sigma_j N_j \varepsilon_j \\
\varepsilon_4 & N_4 \\
\varepsilon_3 & N_3 \\
\varepsilon_2 & N_2 \\
\varepsilon_1 & N_1 \\
\varepsilon_3 & N_2 \\
\varepsilon_1 & N_1 \\
\varepsilon_2 & N_2 \\
\varepsilon_2 & N_2 \\
\varepsilon_2 & N_2 \\
\varepsilon_3 & N_3 \\
\varepsilon_3 & N_3 \\
\varepsilon_3 & N_3 \\
\varepsilon_1 & N_1 \\
\varepsilon_2 & N_2 \\
\varepsilon_3 & N_3 \\
\varepsilon_2 & N_3 \\
\varepsilon_3 & N_3 \\
\varepsilon_3
$$



The distribution of microstates is

The distribution of microstates is\n
$$
W = \prod_{i} \frac{g_i^{N_i}}{N_i!} \quad \text{(for } g_i \gg N_i\text{)}
$$
\nBoltzmann statistics

[en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maxwell-Boltzmann\\_statistics](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maxwell-Boltzmann_statistics)

We have many *W* distributions

$$
W = \prod_i \frac{g_i^{N_i}}{N_i!}
$$

We have many W distributions  
\n
$$
W = \prod_{i} \frac{g_i^{N_i}}{N_i!}
$$
\nFor example, for N = 3 and 4 energy levels, each with  $g_i = 10$ :  
\n
$$
W_1 = \frac{10^3}{3!} \times \frac{10^0}{0!} \times \frac{10^0}{0!} \times \frac{10^0}{0!} \times 167
$$
\n
$$
W_2 = \frac{10^2}{2!} \times \frac{10^1}{1!} \times \frac{10^0}{0!} \times \frac{10^0}{0!} = 500
$$
\n
$$
W_3 = \frac{10^2}{2!} \times \frac{10^0}{0!} \times \frac{10^1}{1!} \times \frac{10^0}{0!} = 500
$$
\n
$$
W_4 = \frac{10^0}{0!} \times \frac{10^0}{0!} \times \frac{10^1}{0!} \times \frac{10^3}{3!} \times 167
$$
\nWe should search for the  
\n
$$
W_M = \frac{10^0}{0!} \times \frac{10^0}{0!} \times \frac{10^0}{0!} \times \frac{10^3}{3!} \times 167
$$

In equilibrium,  $S = k_B \ln W$  is the largest.

We should search for the *Ni* s that give the biggest ln *W*.

To find the maximum, we must solve

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \left[ \ln \left( \prod_i \frac{g_i^{N_i}}{N_i!} \right) \right] = 0
$$

However, to ensure that the total number of particles and energy are constant, we must solve

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \left[ \ln \left( \prod_i \frac{g_i^{N_i}}{N_i!} \right) + \alpha \left( N - \sum_i N_i \right) + \beta \left( E - \sum_i N_i \varepsilon_i \right) \right] = 0
$$
\nLagrange multipliers

\n*youtu.be/yuqB-d5MjZA*

We get the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

oltzmann distribution  
\n
$$
N_j = g_j \exp\left(\frac{-(\varepsilon_j - \mu)}{k_B T}\right)
$$
\nthe Appendix to this presentation.

See the derivation in the Appendix to this presentation.

Two extremely important results are





Example: If a gas is equilibrated at 300 K, which fraction of molecules (relative to the ground state) is at the first vibrational excited state 0.01 eV above the ground state? The states are equally degenerated.

$$
\frac{N_j}{N_k} = \frac{g_j}{g_k} \exp\left(\frac{-(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_j)}{k_B T}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{N_2}{N_1} = \exp\left(\frac{-(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1)}{k_B T}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \exp\left(\frac{-0.01 \text{ eV}}{8.617 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV/K} \times 300 \text{ K}}\right)
$$
  
\n= 0.68  
\n
$$
= 0.68
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\n\epsilon \text{ (eV)} \\
\epsilon_2 N_2 \\
= 0.68 N_1 \\
\epsilon_1 N_1\n\end{cases}
$$

# Particle distributions





 $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$ ! *N<sup>i</sup> i i i*  $W = N! \prod \frac{g_i^{n_i}}{n_i!}$ *N* = $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\delta_i}{\delta_i!}$ Number of microstates Wrong entropy! (Gibbs paradox) Correct entropy!



Number of microstates



Indistiguibility is needed to describe classical systems statistically.

Gibbs discovered that in 1874!

Jaynes, In *[Maximum entropy](https://bayes.wustl.edu/etj/articles/gibbs.paradox.pdf) and Bayesian methods, Springer, 1992*



As many as we want in each sublevel.<br>
As many as we want in each sublevel.

Bosons  
\nAs many as we want in each sublevel.  
\n
$$
W_{BE} = \prod_{i} \frac{(N_i + g_i - 1)!}{N_i!(g_i - 1)!}
$$
\n
$$
W_{FD} = \prod_{i} \frac{g_i!}{N_i!(g_i - N_i)!}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial N_i} \left[ \ln(W) + \alpha \left( N - \sum_{i} N_i \right) + \beta \left( E - \sum_{i} N_i \varepsilon_i \right) \right] = 0
$$
\nBose-Einstein distribution  
\n
$$
N_i = \frac{g_i}{\exp\left( \frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{k_B T} \right) - 1}
$$
\n*N<sub>i</sub>* =  $\frac{g_i}{\exp\left( \frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{k_B T} \right) + 1}$   
\n*N<sub>i</sub>* =  $\frac{g_i}{\exp\left( \frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{k_B T} \right) + 1}$ 

*<sup>i</sup> <sup>i</sup> j*

*N*

 $\ln(W) + \alpha \left| N - \sum N_i \right| + \beta \left| E - \sum N_i \varepsilon_i \right| \left| = 0 \right|$ 

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial N_i} \left[ \ln(W) + \alpha \left( N - \sum_i N_i \right) + \beta \left( E - \sum_i N_i \varepsilon_i \right) \right] = 0$ 

 $W$ **)** +  $\alpha$ |  $N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i$  | +  $\beta$ |  $E - \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i \varepsilon_i$  | | = 0

Bose-Einstein distribution

$$
N_i = \frac{g_i}{\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{k_B T}\right) - 1}
$$

[en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bose-Einstein\\_statistics](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bose-Einstein_statistics) [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermi-Dirac\\_statistics](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermi-Dirac_statistics)

Fermi-Dirac distribution

$$
N_i = \frac{g_i}{\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{k_B T}\right) + 1}
$$





# The Gibbs picture

Ensemble

 $\mathbf{x}_i = (\mathbf{r}_{i1}, \mathbf{p}_{i1}, \mathbf{r}_{i2}, \mathbf{p}_{i2}, ..., \mathbf{r}_{iN}, \mathbf{p}_{iN})$ 

# **Gibbs Ensemble**

**x**<sub>M</sub>

Each point in the ensemble is a copy of the full system with *N* molecules.

It is NOT one molecule.

**Microcanonical (or** *NVE***) ensemble:** all points have the same number of molecules and total energy.

**Canonical (or** *NVT***) ensemble:** all points have the same number of molecules and temperature. They may have different total energies.

**Grand-canonical (or**  $\mu$ **VT) ensemble:** all points have the same temperature and chemical potential. They may have a different number of molecules and total energies.

# Thermostats

### Microcanonical ensemble (NVE)



# How can we run molecular dynamics with constant temperature?

Thermostats are algorithms that couple the system to an external bath, allowing energy exchange and thermalization.

Many thermostats are available in MD programs.

Some of the most popular are:

- Andersen [Andersen. *J Chem Phys* **1980**, *72*, 2384 ]
- Lowe-Andersen [Lowe. *Europhys Lett 1999, 47*, 145]
- Nosé-Hoover [Hoover. *Phys Rev A* **1985**, *31*, 1695]



# **Andersen thermostat**

- 1. Define a collision frequency  $\Gamma$
- 2. Integrate dynamics in one step  $\Delta t$  (eg, Velocity Verlet)
- 3. For each atom, sample a uniform random number  $r_0$  between 0 and 1. If  $r_0$  >  $\Gamma \Delta t$ , do not change the velocity If  $r_0 \leq \Gamma \Delta t$ , change the velocity as **thermostat**<br>
collision frequency  $\Gamma$ <br>
e dynamics in one step  $\Delta t$  (eg, Velocity Verlet)<br>
atom, sample a uniform random number  $r_0$  between 0<br> *t*, do not change the velocity<br> *t*, change the velocity as<br>  $\left(v_{ax}^{(\text{new})$ **collision frequency**  $\Gamma$ <br>dynamics in one step  $\Delta t$  (eg, Velocity<br>atom, sample a uniform random numl;<br>, do not change the velocity<br>, change the velocity as<br> $\sum_{\ell}^{new} = \left(v_{\alpha,x}^{(new)}, v_{\alpha,y}^{(new)}, v_{\alpha,z}^{(new)}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{k_{B}T}{M_{\alpha}}} \$ **nostat**<br> *frequency*  $\Gamma$ <br> *xs* in one step  $\Delta t$  (eg, Velocity Verlet)<br> *xmple* a uniform random number  $r_0$  *k*<br> *x*hange the velocity<br> *x* the velocity as<br> *x*<sup>*x*</sup>,  $v_{\alpha,y}^{(new)}$ ,  $v_{\alpha,z}^{(new)}$  =  $\sqrt{\frac{k_B T}{M_a}} \times (r_x, r_y,$ (eg, Velocity Verlet)<br>andom number  $r_0$  b<br>city<br> $\frac{\overline{k_{B}T}}{M_{\alpha}}\times (r_{x}, r_{y}, r_{z})$ <br>ndom numbers of ur *v* **v** *r v v r <i>v r <i>v x e x v e x i eg*, *Velocity Verlet)*<br> *v x v x <i>v n x <i>x v x v x v x v x v x v x v x v x v x v x v x y v* **thermostat**<br>
collision frequency  $\Gamma$ <br>
e dynamics in one step  $\Delta t$  (eg, Velocity Verlet)<br>
atom, sample a uniform random number  $r_0$  between 0 and 1.<br> *t*, do not change the velocity<br> *t*, change the velocity as<br>  $\left[v_{a$ **thermostat**<br>
a collision frequency  $\Gamma$ <br>
te dynamics in one step  $\Delta t$  (eg, Velocity Verlet)<br>
h atom, sample a uniform random number  $r_0$  between 0 and 1.<br>  $\Delta t$ , do not change the velocity<br>  $\Delta t$ , change the velocity a

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\alpha}^{(new)} = \left(v_{\alpha,x}^{(new)}, v_{\alpha,y}^{(new)}, v_{\alpha,z}^{(new)}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{k_B T}{M_{\alpha}}} \times \left(r_x, r_y, r_z\right)
$$

where *r<sup>x</sup>* , *ry* , and *r<sup>z</sup>* are Gaussian random numbers of unit variance;  $M_{\alpha}$  is the mass of atom  $\alpha$ 

Andersen. *J Chem Phys* **1980**, *72*, 2384

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\alpha}^{(new)} = \sqrt{\frac{k_B T}{M_{\alpha}}} \times (r_x, r_y, r_z)
$$

The rationale for this formula is that for a system following Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, the velocity is distributed as

$$
\mathbf{v}_{\alpha}^{(new)} = \sqrt{\frac{k_{B}T}{M_{\alpha}}} \times (r_{x}, r_{y}, r_{z})
$$
  
be for this formula is that for a system following  
tzmann statistics, the velocity is distributed as  

$$
f(\mathbf{v})d^{3}\mathbf{v} = \left[\frac{M}{2\pi k_{B}T}\right]^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{Mv^{2}}{2K_{B}T}\right)
$$
ssian distribution with standard deviation  

$$
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{k_{B}T}{M}}
$$
dersen thermostat is spreading the velocity as

This is a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation

$$
\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{k_B T}{M}}
$$

Thus, the Andersen thermostat is spreading the velocity as a Gaussian around the mean value **v** = 0

The Lowe-Anderson thermostat works similarly but with a more complicated velocity change.

It is done in the direction between atoms to conserve momentum.

Koopman; Lowe. *J Chem Phys* **2006***, 124*, 204103

For the Lowe-Andersen thermostat one considers pairs of particles located within a distance  $R<sub>T</sub>$  of each other. A bath collision then involves taking a new relative velocity for the two particles from the Maxwellian for relative velocities. To conserve angular momentum this operation is only performed on the component of the relative velocity parallel to the line of centers. Furthermore, the new relative velocity is imposed in such a way that linear momentum is conserved. A bath collision then takes the form

$$
\mathbf{v}_{i}^{*}(t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{i}(t), & \Gamma \Delta t < \zeta_{1} \\ \mathbf{v}_{i} + (\mu_{ij}/m_{i})(\lambda - (\mathbf{v}_{i} - \mathbf{v}_{j}) \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij})\hat{\sigma}_{ij}, & \Gamma \Delta t \geq \zeta_{1}, \end{cases}
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}_{j}^{*}(t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{j}(t), & \Gamma \Delta t < \zeta_{1} \\ \mathbf{v}_{j} - (\mu_{ij}/m_{j})(\lambda - (\mathbf{v}_{i} - \mathbf{v}_{j}) \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij})\hat{\sigma}_{ij}, & \Gamma \Delta t \geq \zeta_{1}. \end{cases}
$$
(3)

Here  $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$  is the unit separation vector  $\hat{\sigma}_{ij} = (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)/|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$ ,  $m_i$ and  $m_i$  are the masses of particles i and j, respectively,  $\mu_{ij}$ (=m<sub>i</sub>m<sub>i</sub>/(m<sub>i</sub>+m<sub>i</sub>)) is the reduced mass of the pair, and  $\lambda$  is a stochastic variable  $\lambda = \zeta_2 \sqrt{(k_B T / \mu_{ij})}$ . The procedure is carried out sequentially for each pair, and the velocity appearing on the right hand side is always the current value (i.e., it can itself be a postcollisional value).

Canonical ensemble (NVT)



Thermalization may require several steps.



Shields *et al. J Am Chem Soc* **1998**, *120*, 5895 Asha *et al. J Phys Chem B* **2022**, *126*, 10608

### To know more:

Philosophical perspective on statistical mechanic

• [plato.stanford.edu/entries/statphys-statmech](https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/statphys-statmech)

The different statistics

- [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maxwell-Boltzmann\\_statistics](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maxwell-Boltzmann_statistics)
- en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bose-Einstein statistics
- en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermi-Dirac statistics

Lagrange multipliers:

• [youtu.be/yuqB-d5MjZA](https://youtu.be/yuqB-d5MjZA)

Papers available for download at: [amubox.univ-amu.fr/s/xXAiMZrDPb9RMRX](https://amubox.univ-amu.fr/s/xXAiMZrDPb9RMRX) (Ask me for the password)

# **Appendix Deriving Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution**

In equilibrium,  $S = k_B \ln(W)$  is the largest.

We should search for the largest ln(*W*)

Which value of *N<sup>j</sup>* does maximize *W*  **under the constraint** that *N* and *E* are constant?

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \left[ \ln(W) + \alpha \left( N - \sum_i N_i \right) + \beta \left( E - \sum_i N_i \varepsilon_i \right) \right] = 0
$$

Lagrange multipliers: [youtu.be/yuqB-d5MjZA](https://youtu.be/yuqB-d5MjZA)

To find the maximum of ln *W*, we need ln *W*:

$$
\ln(W) = \ln\left(\prod_{i} \frac{g_i^{N_i}}{N_i!}\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i} \left(\ln\left(g_i^{N_i}\right) - \ln\left(N_i!\right)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\approx \sum_{i} \left(N_i \ln\left(g_i\right) - N_i \ln\left(N_i\right) + N_i\right)
$$
\n
$$
\ln\left(N!\right) \approx N
$$
\n
$$
\ln\left(N!\right) \approx N
$$

ember<br>
ln (*ab*) = ln (*a*) + ln (*b*)<br>
ng's approximation<br>
!)  $\approx N \ln (N) - N_i$  for  $N \gg 1$ Remember

 $\ln(N!) \approx N \ln(N) - N_i$  for  $N \gg 1$ Stirling's approximation

We must solve

We must solve  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \bigg[ \sum_i \big( N_i \ln (g_i) - N_i \ln (N_i) + N_i \big) + \alpha \bigg( N - \sum_i N_i \bigg) + \beta \bigg( E - \sum_i N_i \varepsilon_i \bigg) \bigg] = 0
$$

First, we compute the derivative

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \left[ \sum_{i} \left( N_i \ln(g_i) - N_i \ln(N_i) + N_i \right) + \alpha \left( N - \sum_{i} N_i \right) + \beta \left( E - \sum_{i} N_i \varepsilon_i \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \sum_{i} N_i \left( \ln(g_i) - \ln(N_i) + 1 \right) + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \left( N - \sum_{i} N_i \right) + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \left( E - \sum_{i} N_i \varepsilon_i \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} N_j \left( \ln(g_j) - \ln(N_j) + 1 \right) - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} N_j - \beta \varepsilon_j \frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} N_j
$$
\n
$$
= \left( \ln(g_j) - \ln(N_j) + 1 \right) + N_j \frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \left( \ln(g_j) - \ln(N_j) + 1 \right) - \alpha - \beta \varepsilon_j
$$
\n
$$
= \ln(g_j) - \ln(N_j) + 1 - \frac{N_j}{N_j} - \alpha - \beta \varepsilon_j
$$
\n
$$
= \ln(g_j) - \ln(N_j) - \alpha - \beta \varepsilon_j
$$

### Solving

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \left[ \ln(W) - \alpha \left( N - \sum_i N_i \right) - \beta \left( E - \sum_i N_i \varepsilon_i \right) \right] = \ln \left( g_j \right) - \ln \left( N_j \right) - \alpha - \beta \varepsilon_j = 0
$$

gives *N<sup>j</sup>* that maximizes *W* under constant *N* and *E*:

$$
N_j = g_j \exp(-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_j))
$$

To find  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :

$$
\ln(W) \approx \sum_{i} N_{i} (\ln(g_{i}) - \ln(N_{i}) + 1)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i} g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})} (\ln(g_{i}) - \ln(g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})}) + 1)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i} g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})} (\ln(g_{i}) - \ln(g_{i}) - \ln(e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})}) + 1)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i} g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})} (\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i} + 1)
$$
  
= 
$$
(\alpha + 1) \sum_{i} g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})} + \beta \sum_{i} g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})} \varepsilon_{i}
$$
  
= 
$$
(\alpha + 1) \sum_{i} N_{i} + \beta \sum_{i} N_{i} \varepsilon_{i}
$$
  
= 
$$
(\alpha + 1) N + \beta E
$$

Solve it to *E*:

$$
E = \frac{\ln(W)}{\beta} - \frac{N}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha N}{\beta}
$$

$$
= \frac{S}{k_B \beta} - \frac{N}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha N}{\beta}
$$

Compare to the ideal gas:

 $T = TS - Nk_B T + \mu N$  $E = TS - PV + \mu N$ 

 $S = k_B \ln W$ <br>
Brature Remember

$$
T =
$$
temperature  
 $\mu$  = chemical potential

$$
\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}
$$
\n
$$
\alpha = -\frac{\mu}{k_B T}
$$