L9 – Statistical Mechanics 1

Boltzman picture, Gibbs ensembles, and thermostats

"Ludwig Boltzmann, who spent much of his life studying statistical mechanics, died in 1906, by his own hand. Paul Ehrenfest, carrying on the work, died similarly in 1933. Now it is our turn to study statistical mechanics.

Perhaps it will be wise to approach the subject cautiously"

David L. Goodstein - States of Matter, 2014

The Boltzmann picture











Macroscopic state: P

Microscopic state: $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{p}_2, ..., \mathbf{r}_N, \mathbf{p}_N)$

A microstate corresponds to a single macrostate $\mathbf{x}_1 = (\mathbf{r}_{11}, \mathbf{p}_{11}, \mathbf{r}_{12}, \mathbf{p}_{12}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{1N}, \mathbf{p}_{1N}) \rightarrow P_a$

Many microstates may yield the same macrostate $\mathbf{x}_1 \rightarrow P_a$ $\mathbf{x}_2 \rightarrow P_a$ $\mathbf{x}_3 \rightarrow P_a$ Microstate space



Microstate space



 $\mathbf{x}_{i} = (\mathbf{r}_{i1}, \mathbf{p}_{i1}, \mathbf{r}_{i2}, \mathbf{p}_{i2}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{iN}, \mathbf{p}_{iN})$

Microstate space





W(P_q)=324



Why does time flow in a single direction?







Why does time flow in a single direction?

The equilibrium region of the microstate space is so large that it consists almost entirely of equilibrium microstates.

For this reason, a system that starts its time-evolution in a nonequilibrium state always evolves into the equilibrium region and stays there for a very long time.

A trajectory may take longer than the universe's lifetime to return to the initial non-equilibrium region.



Ergodic hypothesis

B

In the long run, the time a trajectory spends in a region of the microstate space is proportional to the area of the region.

 $\frac{Time(B)}{Time(Y)} = \frac{Area(B)}{Area(Y)}$



- 1) If we random sample Li-stilbene according to the 1:99 probability ratio, we get 1% of *cis* and 99% of *trans*.
- 2) If we run a long molecular dynamics trajectory, Li-stilbene remains 1% of the time in *cis* and 99% of the time in *trans*.

Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics



 $N = \sum_{j} N_{j}$ $E = \sum_{j} N_{j} \varepsilon_{j}$

 $\epsilon_4 N_4$ $\varepsilon_3 N_3$ $\epsilon_2 N_2$ $\varepsilon_1 N_1$

3

If the system is in equilibrium, how many particles N_i have energy ε_i ?

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maxwell-Boltzmann_statistics



The distribution of microstates is

$$W = \prod_{i} \frac{g_i^{N_i}}{N_i!} \quad (\text{for } g_i \gg N_i)$$

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maxwell-Boltzmann_statistics

We have many W distributions

$$W = \prod_{i} \frac{g_i^{N_i}}{N_i!}$$

For example, for N = 3 and 4 energy levels, each with $g_i = 10$:

$$W_{1} = \frac{10^{3}}{3!} \times \frac{10^{0}}{0!} \times \frac{10^{0}}{0!} \times \frac{10^{0}}{0!} \approx 167$$
$$W_{2} = \frac{10^{2}}{2!} \times \frac{10^{1}}{1!} \times \frac{10^{0}}{0!} \times \frac{10^{0}}{0!} \approx 500$$
$$W_{3} = \frac{10^{2}}{2!} \times \frac{10^{0}}{0!} \times \frac{10^{1}}{1!} \times \frac{10^{0}}{0!} = 500$$
$$\vdots$$
$$W_{M} = \frac{10^{0}}{0!} \times \frac{10^{0}}{0!} \times \frac{10^{0}}{0!} \times \frac{10^{3}}{3!} \approx 167$$

In equilibrium, S = k_B In W is the largest.

We should search for the N_i s that give the biggest In W.

To find the maximum, we must solve

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial N_j} \left[\ln \left(\prod_i \frac{g_i^{N_i}}{N_i!} \right) \right] = 0$$

However, to ensure that the total number of particles and energy are constant, we must solve

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} \left[\ln \left(\prod_{i} \frac{g_{i}^{N_{i}}}{N_{i}!} \right) + \alpha \left(N - \sum_{i} N_{i} \right) + \beta \left(E - \sum_{i} N_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \right) \right] = 0$$
Lagrange multipliers
youtu.be/yuqB-d5MjZA

We get the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

$$N_{j} = g_{j} \exp\left(\frac{-\left(\varepsilon_{j} - \mu\right)}{k_{B}T}\right)$$

See the derivation in the Appendix to this presentation.

Two extremely important results are





Example: If a gas is equilibrated at 300 K, which fraction of molecules (relative to the ground state) is at the first vibrational excited state 0.01 eV above the ground state? The states are equally degenerated.

$$\frac{N_j}{N_k} = \frac{g_j}{g_k} \exp\left(\frac{-\left(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_j\right)}{k_B T}\right)$$
$$\frac{N_2}{N_1} = \exp\left(\frac{-\left(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1\right)}{k_B T}\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(\frac{-0.01 \text{ eV}}{8.617 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV/K} \times 300 \text{ K}}\right)$$
$$= 0.68$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\epsilon} (eV) \\ \mathbf{\epsilon}_2 \quad N_2 \\ = 0.68 N_1 \\ \mathbf{\epsilon}_1 \quad N_1 \end{aligned}$$

Particle distributions





Number of microstates $W = N! \prod_{i} \frac{g_{i}^{N_{i}}}{N_{i}!}$ Wrong entropy! (Gibbs paradox)



Number of microstates



Indistiguibility is needed to describe classical systems statistically.

Gibbs discovered that in 1874!

Jaynes, In *Maximum entropy and Bayesian methods*, Springer, 1992



As many as we want in each sublevel.

One per sublevel.

Bosons
As many as we want in each sublevel.

$$W_{BE} = \prod_{i} \frac{(N_{i} + g_{i} - 1)!}{N_{i}!(g_{i} - 1)!}$$

$$W_{FD} = \prod_{i} \frac{g_{i}!}{N_{i}!(g_{i} - N_{i})!}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} \left[\ln(W) + \alpha \left(N - \sum_{i} N_{i} \right) + \beta \left(E - \sum_{i} N_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \right) \right] = 0$$

Bose-Einstein distribution

$$N_i = \frac{g_i}{\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{k_B T}\right) - 1}$$

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bose-Einstein_statistics en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermi-Dirac_statistics Fermi-Dirac distribution

$$N_i = \frac{g_i}{\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{k_B T}\right) + 1}$$





The Gibbs picture

Ensemble

 $\mathbf{x}_{i} = (\mathbf{r}_{i1}, \mathbf{p}_{i1}, \mathbf{r}_{i2}, \mathbf{p}_{i2}, \dots, \mathbf{r}_{iN}, \mathbf{p}_{iN})$

Gibbs Ensemble Each point in the ensemble is a copy of the

XM

full system with *N* molecules.

It is NOT one molecule.

Microcanonical (or NVE) ensemble: all points have the same number of molecules and total energy.

Canonical (or NVT) ensemble: all points have the same number of molecules and temperature. They may have different total energies.

Grand-canonical (or \mu VT) ensemble: all points have the same temperature and chemical potential. They may have a different number of molecules and total energies.

Thermostats

Microcanonical ensemble (NVE)



How can we run molecular dynamics with constant temperature?

Thermostats are algorithms that couple the system to an external bath, allowing energy exchange and thermalization.

Many thermostats are available in MD programs.

Some of the most popular are:

- Andersen [Andersen. J Chem Phys 1980, 72, 2384]
- Lowe-Andersen [Lowe. *Europhys Lett* **1999**, 47, 145]
- Nosé-Hoover [Hoover. *Phys Rev A* **1985**, *31*, 1695]

	Nosé-Hoover	Andersen	Lowe-Andersen
Conserves momentum	Yes	No	Yes
Galilean invariant	No	No	Yes
Global/Local	Global	Local	Local
Enhances viscosity	No	No	Yes
Deterministic/Stochastic	Deterministic	Stochastic	Stochastic

Andersen thermostat

- 1. Define a collision frequency Γ
- 2. Integrate dynamics in one step Δt (eg, Velocity Verlet)
- 3. For each atom, sample a uniform random number r_0 between 0 and 1. If $r_0 > \Gamma \Delta t$, do not change the velocity If $r_0 \leq \Gamma \Delta t$, change the velocity as

$$\mathbf{v}_{\alpha}^{(new)} = \left(v_{\alpha,x}^{(new)}, v_{\alpha,y}^{(new)}, v_{\alpha,z}^{(new)}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{k_B T}{M_{\alpha}}} \times \left(r_x, r_y, r_z\right)$$

where r_x , r_y , and r_z are Gaussian random numbers of unit variance; M_{α} is the mass of atom α

Andersen. J Chem Phys **1980**, 72, 2384

$$\mathbf{v}_{\alpha}^{(new)} = \sqrt{\frac{k_B T}{M_{\alpha}}} \times \left(r_x, r_y, r_z\right)$$

The rationale for this formula is that for a system following Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics, the velocity is distributed as

$$f(\mathbf{v})d^{3}\mathbf{v} = \left[\frac{M}{2\pi k_{B}T}\right]^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{Mv^{2}}{2K_{B}T}\right)$$

This is a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{k_B T}{M}}$$

Thus, the Andersen thermostat is spreading the velocity as a Gaussian around the mean value $\mathbf{v} = 0$

The Lowe-Anderson thermostat works similarly but with a more complicated velocity change.

It is done in the direction between atoms to conserve momentum.

Koopman; Lowe. J Chem Phys **2006**, 124, 204103

For the Lowe-Andersen thermostat one considers pairs of particles located within a distance R_T of each other. A bath collision then involves taking a new relative velocity for the two particles from the Maxwellian for relative velocities. To conserve angular momentum this operation is only performed on the component of the relative velocity parallel to the line of centers. Furthermore, the new relative velocity is imposed in such a way that linear momentum is conserved. A bath collision then takes the form

$$\mathbf{v}_{i}^{*}(t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{i}(t), \quad \Gamma \Delta t < \zeta_{1} \\ \mathbf{v}_{i} + (\mu_{ij}/m_{i})(\lambda - (\mathbf{v}_{i} - \mathbf{v}_{j}) \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij})\hat{\sigma}_{ij}, \quad \Gamma \Delta t \ge \zeta_{1}, \end{cases}$$
$$\mathbf{v}_{j}^{*}(t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{j}(t), \quad \Gamma \Delta t < \zeta_{1} \\ \mathbf{v}_{j} - (\mu_{ij}/m_{j})(\lambda - (\mathbf{v}_{i} - \mathbf{v}_{j}) \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij})\hat{\sigma}_{ij}, \quad \Gamma \Delta t \ge \zeta_{1}. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Here $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ is the unit separation vector $\hat{\sigma}_{ij} = (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)/|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$, m_i and m_j are the masses of particles *i* and *j*, respectively, $\mu_{ij}(=m_im_j/(m_i+m_j))$ is the reduced mass of the pair, and λ is a stochastic variable $\lambda = \zeta_2 \sqrt{(k_B T/\mu_{ij})}$. The procedure is carried out sequentially for each pair, and the velocity appearing on the right hand side is always the current value (i.e., it can itself be a postcollisional value). Canonical ensemble (NVT)



Thermalization may require several steps.



Shields *et al. J Am Chem Soc* **1998**, *120*, 5895 Asha *et al. J Phys Chem B* **2022**, *126*, 10608

To know more:

Philosophical perspective on statistical mechanic

• plato.stanford.edu/entries/statphys-statmech

The different statistics

- <u>en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maxwell-Boltzmann_statistics</u>
- <u>en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bose-Einstein_statistics</u>
- <u>en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermi-Dirac_statistics</u>

Lagrange multipliers:

youtu.be/yuqB-d5MjZA

Papers available for download at: <u>amubox.univ-amu.fr/s/xXAiMZrDPb9RMRX</u> (Ask me for the password)

Appendix Deriving Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

In equilibrium, S = $k_B \ln(W)$ is the largest.

We should search for the largest ln(W)

Which value of N_j does maximize W under the constraint that N and E are constant?

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} \left[\ln \left(W \right) + \alpha \left(N - \sum_{i} N_{i} \right) + \beta \left(E - \sum_{i} N_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \right) \right] = 0$$

Lagrange multipliers: <u>youtu.be/yuqB-d5MjZA</u>

To find the maximum of ln *W*, we need ln *W*:

$$\ln(W) = \ln\left(\prod_{i} \frac{g_{i}^{N_{i}}}{N_{i}!}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i} \left(\ln\left(g_{i}^{N_{i}}\right) - \ln\left(N_{i}!\right)\right)$$
$$\approx \sum_{i} \left(N_{i}\ln\left(g_{i}\right) - N_{i}\ln\left(N_{i}\right) + N_{i}\right)$$

Remember $\ln(ab) = \ln(a) + \ln(b)$

Stirling's approximation $\ln(N!) \approx N \ln(N) - N_i \text{ for } N \gg 1$

We must solve

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} \left[\sum_{i} \left(N_{i} \ln \left(g_{i} \right) - N_{i} \ln \left(N_{i} \right) + N_{i} \right) + \alpha \left(N - \sum_{i} N_{i} \right) + \beta \left(E - \sum_{i} N_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \right) \right] = 0$$

First, we compute the derivative

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} \left[\sum_{i} \left(N_{i} \ln\left(g_{i}\right) - N_{i} \ln\left(N_{i}\right) + N_{i} \right) + \alpha \left(N - \sum_{i} N_{i} \right) + \beta \left(E - \sum_{i} N_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} \sum_{i} N_{i} \left(\ln\left(g_{i}\right) - \ln\left(N_{i}\right) + 1 \right) + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} \left(N - \sum_{i} N_{i} \right) + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} \left(E - \sum_{i} N_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} N_{j} \left(\ln\left(g_{j}\right) - \ln\left(N_{j}\right) + 1 \right) - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} N_{j} - \beta \varepsilon_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} N_{j} \\ &= \left(\ln\left(g_{j}\right) - \ln\left(N_{j}\right) + 1 \right) + N_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} \left(\ln\left(g_{j}\right) - \ln\left(N_{j}\right) + 1 \right) - \alpha - \beta \varepsilon_{j} \\ &= \ln\left(g_{j}\right) - \ln\left(N_{j}\right) + 1 - \frac{N_{j}}{N_{j}} - \alpha - \beta \varepsilon_{j} \\ &= \ln\left(g_{j}\right) - \ln\left(N_{j}\right) - \alpha - \beta \varepsilon_{j} \end{split}$$

Solving

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial N_{j}} \left[\ln\left(W\right) - \alpha \left(N - \sum_{i} N_{i}\right) - \beta \left(E - \sum_{i} N_{i}\varepsilon_{i}\right) \right] = \ln\left(g_{j}\right) - \ln\left(N_{j}\right) - \alpha - \beta\varepsilon_{j} = 0$$

gives N_i that maximizes W under constant N and E:

$$N_{j} = g_{j} \exp\left(-\left(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{j}\right)\right)$$

To find α and β :

$$\ln(W) \approx \sum_{i} N_{i} \left(\ln(g_{i}) - \ln(N_{i}) + 1 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})} \left(\ln(g_{i}) - \ln(g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})}) + 1 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})} \left(\ln(g_{i}) - \ln(g_{i}) - \ln(e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})}) + 1 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})} \left(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i} + 1 \right)$$

$$= (\alpha + 1) \sum_{i} g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})} + \beta \sum_{i} g_{i} e^{-(\alpha + \beta \varepsilon_{i})} \varepsilon_{i}$$

$$= (\alpha + 1) \sum_{i} N_{i} + \beta \sum_{i} N_{i} \varepsilon_{i}$$

$$= (\alpha + 1) N + \beta E$$

Solve it to *E*:

$$E = \frac{\ln(W)}{\beta} - \frac{N}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha N}{\beta}$$
$$= \frac{S}{k_B \beta} - \frac{N}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha N}{\beta}$$

Compare to the ideal gas:

$$E = TS - PV + \mu N$$
$$= TS - Nk_BT + \mu N$$

Remember $S = k_B \ln W$

T = temperature
$$\mu$$
 = chemical potential

$$\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$$
$$\alpha = -\frac{\mu}{k_B T}$$