




L2 - Quantum Mechanics 2


Quantum chemistry

Previously on...

Computational simulations of nanosystems



A **classical particle**
is a particle (!)



A **quantum particle**
delocalizes over space

Schrödinger Equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = H\Psi$$

Ψ is the wave function

H is the Hamiltonian operator

$\hbar = 6.582 \times 10^{-16}$ eV.s is the Planck constant

If the Hamiltonian does not depend on time

Eigenvector

↓

$$H(\mathbf{r})\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

↑

Eigenvalue

If we have more than one particle, we still write a single wave function.

However, the wave function now depends on the coordinates of all particles.

For two particles:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t)$$

For N particles:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, t)$$

Time-independent BO adiabatic formulation

BO molecular wave function

$$\Psi_{nj}^{BO}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) = \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R})$$


Nuclear Schrödinger equation

$$(T_{nuc}(\mathbf{R}) + E_n(\mathbf{R})) \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R}) = \varepsilon_{nj} \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R})$$

Electronic Schrödinger equation

$$(T_{elec}(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = E_n(\mathbf{R}) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$$


$$(T_{elec}(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}))\varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = E_n(\mathbf{R})\varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$$




**Riding the molecular
roller coaster**

Laying down the ground rules

1. Each valley corresponds to a different set of molecules.
2. We can only move between two valleys by rearranging the nuclear positions. We cannot add or remove nuclei after the ride starts.
3. We can only move between valleys if the $E(\mathbf{R})$ remains smaller than the total energy.









- Nuclear quantum delocalization (Tunneling)
- EM fields (photoabsorption, stimulated emission)
- Diabatic mixing (internal conversion, intersystem crossing)
- Vacuum fluctuations (fluorescence, phosphorescence)

Setting the quantum patches

4. A proton can tunnel to the other valley even if the total energy is slightly lower than the barrier maximum. We know the tunneling probability.
5. If the track bifurcates during the reaction, the molecule can follow either, releasing or absorbing heat, with known probabilities.
6. If the molecule is in a lower track, it can be promoted to an upper track by absorbing light. We know the probability of populating each higher track.
7. If the molecule is in an upper track, it can spontaneously go to the lower track by emitting light. We know the probability of this emission happening.



BO approximation: Time-dependent perspective

Time-independent

$$H(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r})\Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon\Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r})$$

Time-dependent

$$H(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r})\Psi^k(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}, t) = i\hbar\partial_t\Psi^k(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}, t)$$

Nuclear equation

$$\Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) = \sum_n \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})h_n(\mathbf{R})$$

$$\Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_n \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})h_n(\mathbf{R}, t)$$

Electronic equation

$$(T_{elec}(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}))\varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = E_n(\mathbf{R})\varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$$

Time-dependent Born-Huang formulation

$$H_{n'} h_{n'} - i\hbar \partial_t h_{n'} + \sum_n N_{n'n} = 0$$

$$H_{n'} = T_{nuc} + E_{n'}$$

$$N_{n'n} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathbf{M}} \left[\langle \varphi_{n'} | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}^2 \varphi_n \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} h_n + 2 \langle \varphi_{n'} | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi_n \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} h_n \right]$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 - i\hbar \partial_t & N_{12} & N_{13} & \dots \\ N_{21} & H_2 - i\hbar \partial_t & N_{23} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Adiabatic approximation

$$N_{n'n} = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 - i\hbar\partial_t & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & H_2 - i\hbar\partial_t & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_n h_n - i\hbar\partial_t h_n = 0$$

$$T_{nuc} h_n + E_n h_n = i\hbar\partial_t h_n$$

Time-dependent BO adiabatic formulation

BO molecular wave function

$$\Psi_n^{BO}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}, t) = \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) h_n(\mathbf{R}, t)$$

Nuclear Schrödinger equation

$$T_{nuc} h_n + E_n h_n - i\hbar \partial_t h_n = 0$$

Electronic Schrödinger equation

$$(T_{elec} + V) \varphi_n = E_n \varphi_n$$

The TD-BO wave functions have a weird time-asymmetry

$$\varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \times h_n(\mathbf{R}, t)$$

Ehrenfest

$$\Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}, t) = \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)h(\mathbf{R}, t)$$

Time-dependent Ehrenfest formulation

Ehrenfest molecular wave function

$$\Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}, t) = \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) h(\mathbf{R}, t)$$


Electronic Schrödinger equation

$$\partial_t \varphi = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left\{ \langle h | T_{nuc} + V | h \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} - i\hbar \langle h | \partial_t h \rangle_{\mathbf{R}} + T_{elec} \right\} \varphi$$

Nuclear Schrödinger equation


$$\partial_t h = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left\{ \langle \varphi | T_{elec} + V | \varphi \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} - i\hbar \langle \varphi | \partial_t \varphi \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} + T_{nuc} \right\} h$$

Time evolution after ionization




(b)


$t = 0$ fs





$t = 3.8$ fs



$t = 7.6$ fs



A note about molecular time



There's no time dependency.

A molecule is not rotating or vibrating!

Electrons are not orbiting!

$$\left(T_{nuc}(\mathbf{R}) + E_n(\mathbf{R})\right) \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R}) = \varepsilon_{nj} \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R})$$

$$\left(T_{elec}(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})\right) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = E_n(\mathbf{R}) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$$

An eigenstate may have a time-dependent phase

$$|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = |\psi_k\rangle \exp\left(\frac{-i\varepsilon_k t}{\hbar}\right)$$



$$H|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = i\hbar d_t |\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar d_t \left(|\psi_k\rangle \exp\left(\frac{-i\varepsilon_k t}{\hbar}\right) \right) &= i\hbar |\psi_k\rangle d_t \exp\left(\frac{-i\varepsilon_k t}{\hbar}\right) \\ &= -i\hbar |\psi_k\rangle \frac{i\varepsilon_k}{\hbar} \exp\left(\frac{-i\varepsilon_k t}{\hbar}\right) = \varepsilon_k |\psi_k\rangle \exp\left(\frac{-i\varepsilon_k t}{\hbar}\right) \end{aligned}$$



$$H|\psi_k\rangle \exp\left(\frac{-i\varepsilon_k t}{\hbar}\right) = \varepsilon_k |\psi_k\rangle \exp\left(\frac{-i\varepsilon_k t}{\hbar}\right)$$

$$H|\psi_k\rangle = \varepsilon_k |\psi_k\rangle$$

$$\left(T_{nuc}(\mathbf{R}) + E_n(\mathbf{R})\right) \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R}) = \varepsilon_{nj} \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R})$$




$$\left(T_{nuc}(\mathbf{R}) + E_n(\mathbf{R})\right) \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R}) = \varepsilon_{nj} \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R})$$



For a stationary state

- Momentum = wave function steepness $[-i\hbar\nabla\psi]$
- Kinetic energy = field stress (how much the wave function differs from the mean) $\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\nabla^2\psi\right]$
- Spin = curl of the electron density * $\left[\frac{\hbar}{4}\nabla\times(\tilde{\psi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\sigma}\tilde{\psi})\right]$

- Angular momentum = wave function blobs and nodes



“**Electronic correlation** is the interaction between electrons in the electronic structure of a quantum system. The correlation energy is a measure of how much the **movement** of one electron is influenced by the presence of all other electrons.”

- Wikipedia

“**Electron correlation** is the adjustment of electron **motion** to the instantaneous (as opposed to time-averaged) positions of all the electrons in a molecular entity.”


- IUPAC Gold Book

Which motion are they talking about?

Do orbitals exist?


$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N; \mathbf{R}) \quad \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{r}_i \omega_i$$

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = A \{ \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_1; \mathbf{R}) \phi_2(\mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{R}) \dots \phi_N(\mathbf{x}_N; \mathbf{R}) \}$$





Time becomes important again during chemical reactions or field interactions



**what
atoms
really
look like?**



Quantum Chemistry

Time-independent BO adiabatic formulation

Nuclear Schrödinger equation

$$\left(T_{nuc}(\mathbf{R}) + E_n(\mathbf{R})\right) \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R}) = \varepsilon_{nj} \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R})$$

Electronic Schrödinger equation

$$\left(T_{elec}(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})\right) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = E_n(\mathbf{R}) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$$

BO molecular wave function

$$\Psi_{nj}^{BO}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}) = \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) \chi_{nj}(\mathbf{R})$$

Quantum chemistry's primary goal

Given a nuclear geometry \mathbf{R} , solve the electronic Schrödinger equation in the adiabatic approximation

$$\left(T_{elec}(\mathbf{r}) + V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})\right) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R}) = E_n(\mathbf{R}) \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{R})$$

to get electronic energies E_n and electronic wave function φ_n for state n .

Quantum chemistry's methods

Wave-function based

$$|\varphi_n\rangle$$

- Hartree-Fock
- MP
- CC
- CASSCF
- CASPT2
- CI
- ADC
- ...

Density based

$$\rho_n = |\varphi_n\rangle\langle\varphi_n|$$


- DFT
- DFTB
- TDDFT
- TDA
- DFT-CI
- REKS
- BSE
- ...

Quantum chemistry's bottom-up approach


4. Use WF to get the final electronic WF or density



3. Use MOs to build electronic wave function (WF) guess




2. Use AOs to build molecular orbitals (MO)



1. Define atomic orbital (AO) basis



AOs are hydrogen-like orbitals



1. Define
AO basis

Gaussian basis sets

$$G_{nlm}(r, \theta, \psi) = N_n \underbrace{r^{n-1} e^{-\alpha r^2}}_{\text{radial part}} \underbrace{Y_l^m(\theta, \psi)}_{\text{angular part}}$$


Example: 1s AO for hydrogen using STO-3G basis set:

$$\phi_{1s}(r) = \sum_{j=1}^3 d_j G_{100}(r; \alpha_j)$$

j	α_j	d_j
1	0.1688	0.4
2	0.6239	0.7
3	3.425	1.3

- STO-3G
- 6-31G(d,p)
- cc-pVDZ
- SVP
- ...

2. Use AOs to build MOs



LCAO: linear combination of atomic orbitals

Given a basis of AOs $\{\phi_\mu\}$, the MOs ψ_i are written as

$$\psi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu i} \phi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r})$$

For example



To take the electron spin into account, we define spin orbitals

$$\eta_i(\mathbf{x}) = \psi_i(\mathbf{r})\sigma_i(\omega) \quad \mathbf{x} = (r, \omega)$$

ω is spin up or down.

$\psi_i(\mathbf{r})$ can hold **two** electrons (up and down)

$\eta_i(\mathbf{x})$ can hold **one** electron (up or down)

$$\eta_i(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{\mu} c_{\mu i} \phi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \sigma_i(\omega)$$

known

unknown

just for bookkeeping


3. Use MOs
to build WF
guess

Pauli Exclusion Principle

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_l, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N_{el}}) = -\varphi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_l, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{N_{el}})$$

Wave function guess for two electrons

Consider two electrons, one up in spin-orbital η_a and one down in η_b



First wave function guess:

$$\varphi_{\text{guess1}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \eta_a(\mathbf{x}_1)\eta_b(\mathbf{x}_2)$$

Switch the electrons:


$$\varphi_{\text{guess1}}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1) = \eta_a(\mathbf{x}_2)\eta_b(\mathbf{x}_1)$$

φ_{guess1} **does not satisfy** Pauli exclusion principle:

$$\varphi_{\text{guess1}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \varphi_{\text{guess1}}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1)$$

Wave function guess for two electrons

Consider two electrons, one up in spin-orbital η_a and one down in η_b



Second wave function guess:

$$\varphi_{\text{guess2}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\eta_a(\mathbf{x}_1)\eta_b(\mathbf{x}_2) - \eta_b(\mathbf{x}_1)\eta_a(\mathbf{x}_2) \right]$$

Switch the electrons:

$$\varphi_{\text{guess2}}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\eta_a(\mathbf{x}_2)\eta_b(\mathbf{x}_1) - \eta_b(\mathbf{x}_2)\eta_a(\mathbf{x}_1) \right]$$

φ_{guess2} **satisfies** Pauli exclusion principle:

$$\varphi_{\text{guess2}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = -\varphi_{\text{guess2}}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1)$$

$$\varphi_{guess2}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\eta_a(\mathbf{x}_1)\eta_b(\mathbf{x}_2) - \eta_b(\mathbf{x}_1)\eta_a(\mathbf{x}_2)]$$

can be written as the determinant of the matrix

$$\varphi_{guess2}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \det \begin{bmatrix} \eta_a(\mathbf{x}_1) & \eta_b(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ \eta_a(\mathbf{x}_2) & \eta_b(\mathbf{x}_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Such an antisymmetric wave function guess is called a **Slater determinant**.

Multiple electron Slater determinant

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = (N!)^{-1/2} \det \begin{bmatrix} \eta_a(\mathbf{x}_1) & \eta_b(\mathbf{x}_1) & \cdots & \eta_K(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ \eta_a(\mathbf{x}_2) & \eta_b(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \eta_K(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \eta_a(\mathbf{x}_N) & \eta_b(\mathbf{x}_N) & \cdots & \eta_K(\mathbf{x}_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

Factorial:

$$4! = 4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 24$$

$$0! = 1$$

Methods like HF and DFT use a single Slater determinant to guess the electronic wave function.

$$\varphi_{guess}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$$

The unknowns are $c_{\mu i}$ from MOs.

Methods like CASSCF and CI use multiple Slater determinants to guess the electronic wave function.

$$\varphi_{guess}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = \sum_L C_L \Phi_L(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$$

The unknowns are $c_{\mu i}$ and C_L .

4. Use WF
guess to get
final WF or
density



Solving the time-independent Schrödinger equation

$$H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$$

expand $|\psi\rangle = \sum_i C_i |\phi_i\rangle$ ← known

unknown

replace $H \sum_i C_i |\phi_i\rangle = E \sum_i C_i |\phi_i\rangle$

project & integrate $\sum_i C_i \langle \phi_j | H | \phi_i \rangle = E C_j$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N C_i H_{ji} = EC_j \quad \text{where } H_{ji} = \langle \phi_j | H | \phi_i \rangle$$

Suppose $N = 2$:

$$C_1 H_{11} + C_2 H_{12} = EC_1$$

$$C_1 H_{21} + C_2 H_{22} = EC_2$$

Rewrite as a matrix multiplication:

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Rewrite as a matrix equation:

$$\mathbf{HC} = EC$$

Most quantum chemical methods can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{HC} = EC$$

Toy example: $\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Looking for \mathbf{C} that satisfies $\mathbf{HC} = EC$

Trial 1: $\mathbf{C}_{guess} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{HC}_{guess} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \times 3 + 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 3 + 2 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \neq E\mathbf{C}_{guess}$$

$\mathbf{C}_{guess} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is not an eigenvector

Toy example: $\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Looking for \mathbf{C} that satisfies $\mathbf{HC} = E\mathbf{C}$

Trial 2: $\mathbf{C}_{guess} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{HC}_{guess} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \times 1 + 1 \times 1 \\ 1 \times 1 + 2 \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = E\mathbf{C}_{guess}$$

$\mathbf{C}_{guess} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $E = 3$

In real quantum chemical problems

$$\mathbf{HC} = EC$$

is solved in two steps:

1. Form matrix \mathbf{H} . It means to compute each integral $H_{ji} = \langle \phi_j | H | \phi_i \rangle$
2. Diagonalize $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}E$ to get C (wave function) and E (energy).

Both steps take time because the matrices have millions of elements.

Usually, \mathbf{H} depends on E and \mathbf{C}

$$\mathbf{H}(E, \mathbf{C})\mathbf{C} = E\mathbf{C}$$

↑ ↑ ↑
everything is unknown!

Such a problem is solved with a self-consistent approach (SFC):

1. Guess an approximated $E^{(0)}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{(0)}$ and solve

$$\mathbf{H}(E^{(0)}, \mathbf{C}^{(0)})\mathbf{C}^{(1)} = E^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}$$

2. Use $E^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{(1)}$ to solve

$$\mathbf{H}(E^{(1)}, \mathbf{C}^{(1)})\mathbf{C}^{(2)} = E^{(2)}\mathbf{C}^{(2)}$$

3. Continue the iterations until

$$E^{(N)} = E^{(N-1)}$$

Gradients and Hessian matrices

In addition to electronic energies E_n , wave functions φ_n , and densities ρ_n , quantum chemistry also aims to get:

- Electronic energy gradient \mathbf{g}_n
- Electronic energy Hessian \mathbf{H}_n

Electronic energy gradient

$$\mathbf{g}_n = \nabla E_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial X_1} & \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial Y_1} & \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial Z_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial X_{N_{at}}} & \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial Y_{N_{at}}} & \frac{\partial E_n}{\partial Z_{N_{at}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Electronic force acting on the nuclei

$$\mathbf{F}_n = -\nabla E_n$$

At a geometry of minimum or maximum energy,

$$\mathbf{F}_n = \mathbf{0}$$

Electronic energy Hessian

$$\mathbf{H}_n = \nabla^2 E_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial X_1 \partial X_1} & \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial X_1 \partial Y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial X_1 \partial Z_{N_{at}}} \\ \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial Y_1 \partial X_1} & \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial Y_1 \partial Y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial Y_1 \partial Z_{N_{at}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial Z_{N_{at}} \partial X_1} & \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial Z_{N_{at}} \partial Y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 E_n}{\partial Z_{N_{at}} \partial Z_{N_{at}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Used to find the vibrational normal modes

At a geometry of minimum $\mathbf{H}_n > 0$

To know more:

Introduction to quantum chemistry:

- Szabo; Ostlund, *Modern quantum chemistry*. **1989**. Ch 2
- DFT: Baerends; Gritsenko; van Meer. *PCCP* **2013**, 15, 16408
- TDDFT: Huix-Rotllant; Ferré; Barbatti, *In Quantum chemistry and dynamics of excited states*, **2020**

The BO approximation

- Eric J Heller, *The semiclassical way*, **2018**. Ch 16

About molecular time

- Barbatti, *Aeon Magazine* **2023**, tinyurl.com/emptyatom

Available for download at:

amubox.univ-amu.fr/s/xXAiMZrDPb9RMRX

Ask me for the password.